

# Interest Rate Models — Lecture 4 Notes

Sections 2.3.1–2.6.1: diffusion coefficients, convenient numeraires, forward measures, and pricing formulas

## 1 Completing the numeraire-change toolkit

Last lecture derived the drift correction that appears when the pricing measure is changed. If two numeraires  $S$  and  $U$  evolve under  $\mathbb{Q}^U$  as

$$dS_t = (\dots)dt + \sigma_t^S C dW_t^U, \quad dU_t = (\dots)dt + \sigma_t^U C dW_t^U, \quad CC' = \rho,$$

then the drift of a diffusion  $X$  under the numeraire  $U$  is

$$\mu_t^U(X_t) = \mu_t^S(X_t) - \sigma_t(X_t) \rho \left( \frac{\sigma_t^S}{S_t} - \frac{\sigma_t^U}{U_t} \right)'. \quad (2.12)$$

Thus changing measure does not change instantaneous volatility or instantaneous correlation; it changes the drift. Equivalently, the correlated Brownian shock is re-centered:

$$C dW_t^S = C dW_t^U - \rho \left( \frac{\sigma_t^S}{S_t} - \frac{\sigma_t^U}{U_t} \right)' dt. \quad (2.13)$$

The same adjustment can be read in two ways: a drift shift in the asset dynamics, or a re-centering of the Brownian noise under the new measure.

### Level-proportional, lognormal-type dynamics

Assume volatilities are proportional to the level of the variables:

$$\sigma_t^S = v_t^S S_t, \quad \sigma_t^U = v_t^U U_t, \quad \sigma_t(X_t) = \text{diag}(X_t) \text{diag}(v_t^X).$$

Then (2.12) becomes

$$\mu_t^U(X_t) = \mu_t^S(X_t) - \text{diag}(X_t) \text{diag}(v_t^X) \rho (v_t^S - v_t^U)'. \quad (2.14)$$

Equivalently,

$$\mu_t^U(X_t) = \mu_t^S(X_t) - \text{diag}(X_t) \frac{d\langle \ln X, \ln(S/U) \rangle_t}{dt}.$$

If  $X$  has deterministic proportional drift under  $\mathbb{Q}^S$ , so that

$$\mu_t^S(X_t) = \text{diag}(X_t) m_t^S,$$

then it keeps the same level-proportional form under  $\mathbb{Q}^U$ :

$$\mu_t^U(X_t) = \text{diag}(X_t) m_t^U, \quad m_t^U dt = m_t^S dt - (d \ln X_t)(d \ln(S_t/U_t)). \quad (2.15)$$

So, in lognormal-type models, the numeraire change appears as a correction to the drift rate of  $\ln X$ .

## 2 The diffusion coefficient notation

For a scalar diffusion driven by the common correlated shock  $C dW_t$ ,

$$dX_t = (\dots)dt + v_t C dW_t,$$

define

$$\text{DC}_t(X) = v_t.$$

The operator DC extracts the diffusion coefficient of the process. It is not merely a label for volatility; it is a manipulation device for the noise term.

With this notation, the drift-change and shock-change formulas become

$$\mu_t^U(X_t) = \mu_t^S(X_t) - \text{DC}_t(X) \rho \left( \frac{\text{DC}_t(S)}{S_t} - \frac{\text{DC}_t(U)}{U_t} \right)', \quad (2.16)$$

$$C dW_t^S = C dW_t^U - \rho \left( \frac{\text{DC}_t(S)}{S_t} - \frac{\text{DC}_t(U)}{U_t} \right)' dt. \quad (2.17)$$

The useful algebra is:

$$\text{DC}(kX + hY) = k \text{DC}(X) + h \text{DC}(Y), \quad \text{DC}_t(g) = 0,$$

for deterministic  $k, h, g$ , and

$$\text{DC}_t(g_1X + g_2) = g_1(t) \text{DC}_t(X).$$

For a scalar diffusion  $X$ ,

$$\text{DC}(\ln X) = \frac{\text{DC}(X)}{X}, \quad \text{DC}(X) = X \text{DC}(\ln X).$$

Therefore (2.17) can be written compactly as

$$C dW_t^S = C dW_t^U - h_0 \left( \text{DC} \left( \ln \frac{S}{U} \right) \right)' dt. \quad (2.18)$$

The product rule follows from the logarithmic rule:

$$\text{DC}(X_1 \cdots X_m) = \left( \frac{\text{DC}(X_1)}{X_1} + \cdots + \frac{\text{DC}(X_m)}{X_m} \right) X_1 \cdots X_m.$$

Finally, the quadratic covariation term

$$\frac{d\langle \ln X, \ln Y \rangle_t}{dt}$$

measures the instantaneous co-movement of the proportional shocks of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

### 3 Choosing a convenient numeraire

Suppose the claim pays  $h(X_T)$  at time  $T$ . Under the money-market account numeraire, its time-zero value is

$$\mathbb{E}^0 \{ D(0, T) h(X_T) \}.$$

If  $S$  is another numeraire, the change-of-numeraire identity gives

$$\mathbb{E}^0 \{ D(0, T) h(X_T) \} = S_0 \mathbb{E}^S \left\{ \frac{h(X_T)}{S_T} \right\}. \quad (2.19)$$

This motivates the search for a numeraire  $S$  with two properties:

1.  $X_t S_t$  is the price of a tradable asset;
2.  $h(X_T)/S_T$  is simple enough to take expectations.

The first condition ensures that

$$\frac{X_t S_t}{S_t} = X_t$$

is a martingale under  $\mathbb{Q}^S$ . Thus one may model  $X$  as a driftless lognormal martingale under the measure attached to  $S$ :

$$dX_t = \sigma(t) X_t dW_t, \quad \mathbb{Q}^S.$$

Then the distribution is known:

$$\ln X_t \sim N \left( \ln X_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds, \int_0^t \sigma(s)^2 ds \right).$$

The point is not to change the derivative price; it is to choose the unit of account that makes the payoff expectation tractable.

### 4 The forward measure

A central choice is the zero-coupon bond maturing at the derivative payoff date  $T$ . Since  $P(T, T) = 1$ , the payoff is not divided by a random terminal numeraire. The measure associated with  $P(\cdot, T)$  is the  $T$ -forward measure, denoted  $\mathbb{Q}^T$ . If a claim pays  $H_T$  at time  $T$ , then

$$\pi_t = P(t, T) \mathbb{E}^T \{ H_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t \}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (2.20)$$

This is the fundamental practical formula: price equals the time- $t$  bond price times a forward-measure expectation of the payoff.

## Forward rates under the forward measure

For  $0 \leq u \leq t \leq S < T$ , the simply compounded forward rate over  $[S, T]$  satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}^T \{F(t; S, T) \mid \mathcal{F}_u\} = F(u; S, T).$$

In particular,

$$\mathbb{E}^T \{L(S, T) \mid \mathcal{F}_t\} = F(t; S, T). \quad (2.21)$$

The reason is structural:

$$F(t; S, T)P(t, T) = \frac{1}{\tau(S, T)} (P(t, S) - P(t, T)),$$

which is the price of a traded portfolio of zero-coupon bonds. Dividing by the numeraire  $P(t, T)$  makes  $F(t; S, T)$  a  $\mathbb{Q}^T$ -martingale.

The same idea holds in the instantaneous limit:

$$\mathbb{E}^T \{r_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t\} = f(t, T).$$

Thus the instantaneous forward rate is the  $T$ -forward-measure expectation of the future short rate at  $T$ .

## 5 Fundamental pricing formulas

For the rest of the book, attainable claims are priced under a risk-neutral measure by

$$\pi_t = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} H_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (2.22)$$

For a European call option with maturity  $T$ , strike  $X$ , written on a unit-principal zero-coupon bond maturing at  $S > T$ ,

$$ZBC(t, T, S, X) = \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} (P(T, S) - X)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]. \quad (2.23)$$

The  $T$ -forward measure is defined from the risk-neutral measure by

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^T}{d\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{P(T, T)B(0)}{P(0, T)B(T)} = \frac{D(0, T)}{P(0, T)}. \quad (2.24)$$

Since  $P(T, T) = 1$ , the random discounting is absorbed into the measure change. Therefore,

$$ZBC(t, T, S, X) = P(t, T) \mathbb{E}^T [(P(T, S) - X)^+ \mid \mathcal{F}_t]. \quad (2.25)$$

This form is useful whenever  $P(T, S)$  has a known distribution under  $\mathbb{Q}^T$ , for example a lognormal distribution leading to a Black-like formula. The next step is to use these pricing ideas to rewrite caplets and floorlets as options on zero-coupon bonds.

## Summary

- Changing numeraire changes the drift, or equivalently re-centers the Brownian shock.
- The notation  $DC(X)$  extracts the diffusion coefficient and lets us manipulate noise terms cleanly.
- A good numeraire makes the natural underlying a martingale and simplifies the payoff ratio.
- The  $T$ -forward measure uses  $P(\cdot, T)$  as numeraire, giving  $\pi_t = P(t, T) \mathbb{E}^T (H_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t)$ .
- Forward rates are martingales under the forward measure attached to their payment date.
- Zero-coupon bond options can be rewritten under the forward measure, setting up cap/floor pricing.

**Next lecture:** pricing caps and floors by decomposing caplets and floorlets into zero-coupon bond options.